**DEAN PLAN**

**TDI RECOMMENDATION**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| NAME: |  | | | TDI AMOUNT RECOMMENDED: | | | | | | |  | | | | | |  | | |
| LAST, FIRST | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| POSITION: | |  |  | |  | |  | |  | | |  | |  | | | |
|  | TITLE, STEP, % | |  | |  | | |  | |  | | |  | | |  | | | | |
|  |  | |  | |  |  | | |  | | |  | |  | | | | |

NOTE: THE INFORMATION ABOVE MAY BE COPIED AND PASTED FROM THE SUMMARY SHEET

This form is to be used for TDI nominations for July 1, 2016. Please provide information as requested below.

1. Was advancement during the last three reviews at a normative rate or faster? If not, why is the record nonetheless assessed as solid in all three areas of assessment?

1. In what areas have recent reviews showed consistently strong achievement? What are the candidate’s key achievements in those areas? How strong have those achievements been?

1. Has the candidate received a retention increase in the past eight years? If so, why is additional adjustment nonetheless a high priority?

1. If the candidate is an assistant professor, why is a TDI at this time preferable to a market adjustment at promotion?

1. If criterion #7 is relevant, describe the salient compression or inversion for this candidate.

1. If criterion #8 is relevant, please briefly explain why the candidate fits the description.

1. Additional comments welcomed.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| APPROVAL BY DEAN |  |
|  |  |
| \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ | \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ |
| NAME | SIGNATURE DATE |
|  |  |